

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/768,778 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) MATLOCK, GEORGE </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner Roy D. Gibson </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 3739 </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/768,778	Applicant(s) MATLOCK, GEORGE	Examiner Roy D. Gibson	Art Unit 3739
Application No. 10/768,778	Applicant(s) MATLOCK, GEORGE				
Examiner Roy D. Gibson	Art Unit 3739				

All Participants:

(1) Roy D. Gibson.

(2) Adam Kiedrowski.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 4, 6, 9, 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Ingle et al. (US 2003/0139790) Figure 12D

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Examiner's Amendment attached.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Roy D. Gibson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3739

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)